## Sevenoaks District Council - Big Community Fund - 2011/2012

Appraisal template for use by the Members' Appraisal Panel

| Criteria <br> Number | Criteria Description | Detail | Points <br> Allocated | Points <br> Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6.1 | The extent to which <br> the application meets <br> the aims of the <br> Scheme | Aim 1: To make physical improvements to the area; for example this <br> project will improve an area that people are not happy with or will <br> introduce a new piece of equipment |  | 10 |
|  | Aim 2: To strengthen local communities; for example, there will be a <br> legacy to this project because it leaves the community with a <br> physical or other resource that will have a lasting benefit or it <br> ensures that local people will continue to be involved in the future. |  | 10 |  |
|  | Aim 3: To help more vulnerable people in local communities; for <br> example, this project is aimed at a particular group in the community, <br> eg older people, those who cannot travel, etc. |  | 10 |  |
|  | Aim 4: To improve safety and well-being in local communities. For <br> example, this project will help people to have a greater sense of <br> safety, security or general well-being. | 10 |  |  |
| 6.2 | Value for Money | The project attracts funding from elsewhere. (There is a separate <br> calculation around the cost and benefit at the end of the template) |  | 10 |
| 6.3 | The extent to which | The planning of the project has involved local people |  |  |

Cabinet - 21 July 2011
Item No. 7 - Appendix B

| Criteria <br> Number | Criteria Description | Detail | Points <br> Allocated | Points <br> Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | the application has <br> involved local people <br> and meets an <br> identified local need | The project meets an identified community need | 10 |  |
| 6.4 | The feasibility, long- <br> term sustainability and <br> innovation of the <br> project | The project is feasible, eg there is a strong delivery partner, any <br> other required funding is in place, the project is definitely achievable. <br> (If there are significant doubts concerning the feasibility of the <br> project, the Appraisal Panel may wish to reject the project at this <br> stage but will need to justify doing so.) |  | 10 |
|  |  | There is long-term sustainability - eg, the project will not need <br> additional new resources in the future | 10 |  |
|  |  | The project demonstrates innovation - eg, this could include a new <br> approach to an existing problem or an innovative piece of equipment | 20 |  |
| 6.5 | The extent to which <br> the application is self- <br> sustaining with no on- <br> going need for running <br> costs | There is no on-going need for running costs <br> OR This project will need to be sustained in the long-term and <br> funding is in place | 20 |  |

Cabinet - 21 July 2011
Item No. 7 - Appendix B

| Criteria <br> Number | Criteria Description | Detail | Points <br> Allocated | Points <br> Available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6.6 | The extent to which <br> the Ward has already <br> benefitted from the <br> scheme | The fact that the ward has already benefitted is not a reason for <br> refusal. However, where there are many competing schemes of <br> similar value, the appraisal panel can award additional points to <br> applications from wards who have not previously received grants. |  | 20 |
|  | TOTAL POINTS ALLOCATED |  |  |  |

